

| Meeting: Governing Body (Meeting in Public) | | | |
|--|--|---------------------|----------|
| Meeting Date | 26 May 2021 | Action | Consider |
| Item No. | 9a | Confidential | No |
| Title | Quality and Performance Committee Chair's Report | | |
| Presented By | Peter Bury, Lay Member – Quality and Performance | | |
| Author | Lindsay Johnson, Committee Secretary | | |
| Clinical Lead | - | | |

| Executive Summary |
|---|
| This paper is presented to the Governing Body to provide an update on key information submitted and recommendations made at the Quality and Performance Committee meeting held on 12 th May 2021 |
| Recommendations |
| It is recommended that the Governing Body: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Note the update provided. |

| Links to CCG Strategic Objectives | |
|--|-------------------------------------|
| SO1 - To support the Borough through a robust emergency response to the Covid-19 pandemic. | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| SO2 - To deliver our role in the Bury 2030 local industrial strategy priorities and recovery. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| SO3 - To deliver improved outcomes through a programme of transformation to establish the capabilities required to deliver the 2030 vision. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| SO4 - To secure financial sustainability through the delivery of the agreed budget strategy. | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Does this report seek to address any of the risks included on the Governing Body Assurance Framework? If yes, state which risk below: | |
| GBAF | |

| Implications | | | | | | |
|--|-----|--------------------------|----|-------------------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|
| Are there any quality, safeguarding or patient experience implications? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Has any engagement (clinical, stakeholder or public/patient) been undertaken in relation to this report? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Have any departments/organisations who will be affected been consulted ? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any conflicts of interest arising from the proposal or decision being requested? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any financial Implications? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Has an Equality, Privacy or Quality Impact Assessment been completed? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Is an Equality, Privacy or Quality Impact Assessment required? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| Are there any associated risks including Conflicts of Interest? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input type="checkbox"/> |
| Are the risks on the CCG's risk register? | Yes | <input type="checkbox"/> | No | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> | N/A | <input type="checkbox"/> |

| Governance and Reporting | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Meeting | Date | Outcome |
| Quality and Performance Committee | 12/05/2021 | Items discussed |

1. Key Items to highlight to Governing Body

1.1 Performance Report

1.2 The report submitted to the Quality and Performance Committee presented the CCG's performance position for February 2021. The dashboard at Appendix A included in the report outlined the most recently published data along with those measures for which data collection was currently suspended. The main body of the report focused on indicators where there was under-performance in the most recently published data.

1.3 The Quality and Performance Committee noted the detail as listed as well as being made aware of the detailed work taking place with providers, partners and others across the system with the aim of restoring activity across a number of different areas to pre-Covid levels (where possible). In terms of Urgent Care the Committee was informed that this area was undergoing a programme of redesign and discussions and work would be taking place reviewing how urgent care may be carried out differently across the system. This piece of work involved linking in with interdependencies too.

2. Elective Care Update

2.1 The Quality and Performance Committee welcomed this agenda item which provided an update in relation to a number of Elective Care Workshops that had recently taken place (and continue to take place) with a variety of representatives from across the Northern Care Alliance and Bury One Commissioning Joint Transformation Group.

2.2 A series of slides were shared with the Committee which presented more detail around;

- The Problem
- Integrated System Working – collaborating to “Build back Better”
- Bury systemwide Roadmap

2.3 After the workshops the Committee was advised that it is hoped that defined areas of work would be established and that representatives would form task and finish groups to lead each of the areas along with patients and other representatives involved in order to take forward actions and address needs.

2.4 The Quality and Performance Committee were advised also that from a quality point of view, the Quality Team were fully engaged in the Elective programme and they would be carrying out some deep dives into a couple of key areas ensuring this dovetailed into the work already in the pipeline.

2.5 The Quality and Performance Committee were pleased to see this work in progress and requested regular updates be provided at their Committee meetings.

3. **Nursing Home Assurance Visits – 2020/2021**

- 3.1 The Quality and Performance Committee reviewed the report outlining the Nursing Home Assurance Visits for 2020/21. The report provided an overview of the assurance that safeguarding processes and procedures were appropriately in place within care homes with nursing and in line with Bury CCG's statutory responsibilities to ensure the safeguarding of adults within the locality.
- 3.2 The Committee was informed that this was an annual review and overall was a positive report received. They were highlighted to the joint working that had taken place with teams across the CCG, the Local Authority and General Practice and welcomed further opportunities for joint working to take place in order to achieve positive outcomes for patients.
- 3.3 The report was well received and the Quality and Performance Committee recognised the amount of work carried out by the Nursing Homes to ensure safeguarding assurances were in place especially during the pandemic.

4. **Social Care Performance**

- 4.1 The Quality and Performance Committee were pleased to receive a comprehensive report which provided an overview of Social Care Activity during 2021/21 which ascertained whether the Covid pandemic had any significant impact on demand for services and if so what had been addressed for those needs to move forward.
- 4.2 A number of pages in the report were explained in further detail to the Committee with them recognising the detailed work being carried out and of the continued work in progress. The Quality and Performance Committee said they wished to see sight of this report on a regular basis as they felt this was a useful report of data to have oversight of.

5. **Deep Dive and Risk Report**

- 5.1 The Quality and Performance Committee reviewed in detail the deep dive report in relation to **Autistic Spectrum Conditions Assessment - Neurodevelopmental assessments**. They recognised the work being carried out and progress to date. The Committee agreed that the risk remain the level it was at due to the nature of risk and work required and welcomed further reviews throughout the report period accordingly.
- 5.2 Risk Report - **Discontinuation of Priadel from 6th April 2021**
The Quality and Performance Committee reviewed the information as outlined in the risk report, noting the risks and the updates provided. They **agreed** with the recommendation that the above risk be closed having taken into consideration the information provided which outlined the following:
The Department of Health and Social Care (DHSC) have identified that there is no longer a need to implement system wide switching of patients from Priadel tablets to an alternative lithium carbonate preparation. Bury CCG & Pennine Care did not

undertake a switching program and therefore this risk no longer poses a threat.

6. Finally, the Quality and Performance Committee also reviewed the following reports and documents in which comments and actions have been fed back to those appropriate directorates accordingly.
- Complex Care Panel Terms of Reference
 - Quality Report

Peter Bury
Lay Member – Chair, Quality and Performance
May 2021